

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 93 04:30:06 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #294  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sat, 14 Aug 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    294

Today's Topics:

    Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah (2 msgs)  
                    New 97.113 - repost

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Fri, 13 Aug 1993 20:14:01 GMT  
From: agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!Cslipaulf@ames.arpa  
Subject: Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

little@nuts2u.enet.dec.com (nuts2u::little) writes:

>Again, check the definition of \*rote\*. It means mindless  
>repetition without understanding. Sort of like the arguments in this  
>newsgroup.

If we accept that definition, then copying CW is not \*rote\*, since, amongst  
other things, such recognition requires the utilization of contextual cues.  
In any event, the definition you quote was the secondary (at least according  
to webster), with the primary being "the use of memory usu. with little  
intellegence". In fact the phrase "mindless repetition" is not used at all.

--

-=>Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "The National Anthem has become The Whine."  
->paulf@Stanford.EDU    |    -- Charles Sykes, \_A Nation of Victims\_

-----  
Date: Fri, 13 Aug 93 18:22:59 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!revcan!balsam!  
cowan@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

harp@bnr.ca (Alan Harp) writes:

> >I still think that they is NO need for a 20wpm code test. As long as the cod  
> >test is needed to fulfill international agreements lets make the top speed  
> >13 wpm and add an additional written test for the Extra level that includes  
>  
> >a more complex multi-mode concept evaluation.  
>    ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^  
> >Erich Franz Stocker  
> >N3OXM  
>  
> Ah yes this is what is really required for working high speed CW on the  
> low end of 20 where the EXTRA privileges are. This really makes alot  
> of sense now doesn't it.

I agree that it wouldn't make sense at all if Americans were all that was  
in the world and we all had american laws... but we don't and not all countries  
have an extra class or require 20 wpm to work in that band.

Wouldn't it be nice if the US worked like everyone else?

Standards... what a concept.

--  
Darin Cowan - cowan@balsam.pinetree.org | I just try to make people's  
                  VE3 OIJ                  | lives a little more surreal

-----  
Date: Fri, 13 Aug 1993 20:30:03 GMT  
From: pravda.sdsc.edu!news.cerf.net!usc!cs.utexas.edu!csc.ti.com!tilde.csc.ti.com!  
ra.csc.ti.com!fstop.csc.ti.com!linnig@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: New 97.113 - repost  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I noticed they did not take the opportunity to revise the music prohibition

>       (a) No amateur station shall transmit:  
>

> (4) Music using a phone emission except as specifically provided  
> elsewhere in this Section; [...]

On occasion I call my wife on the club autopatch and get put on hold. Their Hold circuit plays music to me through the autopatch. A few word change would have made it clear that this was ok... too bad. I might have proposed:

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

(4) Music using a phone emission except as specifically provided elsewhere in this Section unless such music is of an incidental nature (such as in the background).

Oh, well... I guess I have to solve the autopatch problem by not letting them put me on hold.

-- Mike, N5QAW

```
- - - - - + - - - - - +  
Mike Linnig, Texas Instruments Inc. | 97.43% of all statistics are made |  
Phone: (214) 575-3597                | up; most of them (83.6 percent)   |  
Internet: mike.linnig@dseg.ti.com    | are wrong.                     |  
- - - - -
```

-----  
Date: Fri, 13 Aug 1993 19:57:37 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!Csli!  
paulf@network.ucsd.edu  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Aug11.053728.24051@nnntp2.cxo.dec.com>,  
<CBL2CE.A4B@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <11AUG199307234538@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov>  
Subject : Re: Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah

stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER) writes:

>Once again the issue is becoming obfuscated. The fact that one now has  
>to recognize words and not characters is just a further extension of the  
>memorization process.

I'd like to know which currently accepted model of human cognition supports this view of recognition. I'll happily supply cites if you do.

--

-=Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "The National Anthem has become The Whine."  
->paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Charles Sykes, \_A Nation of Victims\_

-----  
Date: Sat, 14 Aug 93 02:30:12 GMT  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!rcanders@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CBL2CE.A4B@news.Hawaii.Edu>,  
<1993Aug11.093754.1357@nntpd2.cxo.dec.com>,  
<1993Aug13.201401.12050@Csl.Stanford.EDU>  
Subject : Re: Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah)

In article <1993Aug13.201401.12050@Csl.Stanford.EDU> paulf@Csl.Stanford.EDU  
(Paul Flaherty) writes:

>little@nuts2u.enet.dec.com (nuts2u::little) writes:

>

>>Again, check the definition of \*rote\*. It means mindless  
>>repetition without understanding. Sort of like the arguments in this  
>>newsgroup.

>

>If we accept that definition, then copying CW is not \*rote\*, since, amongst  
>other things, such recognition requires the utilization of contextual cues.  
>In any event, the definition you quote was the secondary (at least according  
>to webster), with the primary being "the use of memory usu. with little  
>intelligence".

That is a good definition of the Morse fans on the net !

> In fact the phrase "mindless repetition" is not used at all.

Some of the Morse fans do a lot of "mindless repetition" in their  
posting.

--

Rod Anderson N0NZO | "I do not think the United States government  
Boulder, CO | is responsible for the fact that a bunch of  
rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu | fanatics decided to kill themselves"  
satellite N0NZO on ao-16 | Slick Willie the Compassionate

-----  
Date: Fri, 13 Aug 1993 16:02:52 GMT  
From: gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary@uunet.uu.net

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <harp.7.0@bnr.ca>, <12AUG199312220436@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov>,  
<harp.8.0@bnr.ca>

Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman)

Subject : Re: Lead the Way! (was Re: code/nocode blah blah blah)

In article <harp.8.0@bnr.ca> harp@bnr.ca (Alan Harp) writes:

>

>When I here the first thing no-coders want is to get rid of the EXTRA

>class license I have a hard time understanding how that will help.

>This is like changing the oil to fix a flat tire.

You had probably better look a little more closely at who's trying  
to harpoon the Extra. It's mainly pre-68 Generals who are still  
pissed at getting the royal shaft.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV		You make it,		gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems		we break it.		uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way		Guaranteed!		emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244				

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #294

\*\*\*\*\*